

Technische Universität Braunschweig

Adjoint thermal optimization

GOFUN 2017, Braunschweig

Thorsten Grahs, March 22nd 2017

Institute of Scientific Computing/move-csc

Applications

 Heat transfer on dimpled surfaces



Uniformity at HVAC outlets

Joined work with Johan Turnow, Uni Rostock



. . .



- Dimpled geometries shows the best thermal-hydraulic performance (compared to ribs and fins)
- Thermal-hydraulic performance is

heat exchange

pressure loss increases

Ligrani, Oliveira, Blaskovich T., Comparison of heat transfer augmentation techniques AIAA Journal, 41 (3), 337-361, (2003).



Formulation

- Let *I* be a specific cost function
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{\textit{N}}$ an admissible domain with boundary Γ
- Typically, the form has to satisfy a set of given constraints R = 0 mostly defined as PDEs with state variables U.
- The form is parametrized by of set of design variables $\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- We can formulate the problem by

 $\label{eq:min_spin} \mbox{min} \, / \, \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\mbox{max}} \, \textit{I}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \quad \mbox{subject to} \quad \boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{0} \mbox{ on } \boldsymbol{\Omega}$



Governing equations

We start from the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\partial_t (\rho \mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\nabla \rho + \nabla \cdot [2\nu D(\mathbf{u})]$$

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

with

- *p* pressure, $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_3)^T$ velocity, *T* Temperature
- $D(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T]$ stress tensor
- v kinematic viscosity

We equip the system with an thermal diffusion equation, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) T = \nabla(\alpha \cdot \nabla T)$$

with α thermal diffusivity.



Residual form of the N.-S. system

- We are interested in a steady-state solution,
 - \Rightarrow omit the time-derivatives
- Rewrite the system in residual form, i.e.

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{s}) = \begin{pmatrix} (r_1, r_2, r_3)^T \\ r_4 \\ r_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \nabla \rho - \nabla \cdot [2\nu D(\mathbf{u})] \\ -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \\ (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)T - \nabla(\alpha \cdot \nabla T) \end{pmatrix}$$

with state vector $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{p}, T)^T$



Lagrange viewpoint

 Introduce Lagrange function/multipliers and transform into an unconstrained optimization problem:

$$L(\mathbf{s},\beta) = I(\mathbf{s},\beta) - \lambda^T \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{s},\beta)$$

- Considering general variation $\delta \boldsymbol{s}$ and $\delta \boldsymbol{\beta}$ gives

$$\delta L = \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \mathbf{s}} - \lambda^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right) \delta \mathbf{s} + \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \beta} - \lambda^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \beta}\right) \delta \beta$$

- If $\lambda^{\mathcal{T}}$ is chosen to satisfy the adjoint equation

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial \mathbf{s}} - \lambda^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^T \lambda = \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)^T$$

we obtain

$$\delta L = \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial \beta} - \lambda^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \beta}\right) \delta \beta$$



Deriving the adjoint system

Starting point: vanishing variation of the Lagrange function:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\mathbf{s}} \mathcal{L} &= \sum_{i} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial I_{\Omega}}{\partial s_{i}} \delta s_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial s_{i}} \delta s_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \right) + \sum_{i,j} \int_{\Omega} \hat{s}_{i} \frac{\partial r_{j}}{\partial s_{i}} \delta s_{i} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial I_{\Omega}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \delta \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \delta \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \delta_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{r} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial I_{\Omega}}{\partial p} \delta p \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial p} \delta p \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \delta_{p} \mathbf{r} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial I_{\Omega}}{\partial \tau} \delta T \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial \tau} \delta T \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \delta_{T} \mathbf{r} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega \equiv \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

with $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = (\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{T}})^{T}$ adjoint state variables (Lagrange multiplier)



Variation of the residual form

After several basic transformation

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\mathbf{s}} L &= \int_{\Omega} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \mathbf{u} \\ \delta p \\ \delta T \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \hat{\mathbf{u}} - \nabla \cdot (2\nu \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}})) + \nabla \hat{p} - T\nabla \hat{T} &+ \\ & -\nabla \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} & + \\ & (\delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) T & + \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \mathbf{u} \\ \delta p \\ \delta T \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}(\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}) + 2\nu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) + T\hat{T}\mathbf{n} - \hat{p}\mathbf{n} &+ \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \\ & \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{n} & + \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial p} \\ & \nu \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \hat{T} + \hat{T}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}) &+ \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial T} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ p \\ T \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -2\nu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\delta \mathbf{u}) \\ 0 \\ -\nu \mathbf{n} \cdot (\delta T) \end{pmatrix} d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \delta \mathbf{s} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial_{\mathbf{s}}} \right) d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma} \delta \mathbf{s} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{c_{1}} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{s} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{c_{2}} d\Gamma \end{split}$$

Technische Universität Braunschweig

Adjoint system

The corresponding inhomogeneous adjoint system (Time-independent incompressible adjoint N.-S. with heat diffusion) to the optimization problem is $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial_s}$ i.e.

$$\mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}})\mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot (2\nu\mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}})) + \nabla\hat{p} - T\nabla\hat{T} = \frac{\partial I_{\Omega}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{\partial I_{\Omega}}{\partial p}$$
$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\hat{T} + \nabla \cdot (\nu\nabla\hat{T}) = \frac{\partial I_{\Omega}}{\partial T}$$

for full derivation:

Hinterberger, C., Olesen, M., Industrial application of continuous adjoint flow solvers for the optimization of automotive exhaust systems. *Proc.ECCOMAS-CFD&Optimization*, 2011.



Adjoint Boundary conditions

For the boundary integrals we have to fulfil the following expression:

$$0 \equiv \delta \mathbf{s} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{c_{1}} + \mathbf{s} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{c_{2}}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \delta \mathbf{u} \\ \delta p \\ \delta T \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}(\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}) + 2\nu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) + T\hat{T}\mathbf{n} - \hat{p}\mathbf{n} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{n} & + \frac{\partial h}{\partial p} \\ \nu \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \hat{T} + \hat{T}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}) & + \frac{\partial h}{\partial T} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ p \\ T \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -2\nu \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\delta \mathbf{u}) \\ 0 \\ -\nu \mathbf{n} \cdot (\delta T) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall \delta \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}.$$

Thus, BCs depend on objective function



Adjoint boundary conditions

With $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}_t + \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n = u_t \mathbf{t} + u_n \mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{t} \perp \mathbf{n}$ we derive adjoint BCs: Inlet

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_t = \mathbf{0}, \quad \hat{u}_n = -\frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial \rho}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad \hat{T} = 0.$$

Wall

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_t = \mathbf{0}, \quad \hat{u}_n = -\frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{I_{\Gamma}}{\partial T}.$$

Outlet

$$u_{n}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{t} + \nu(\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla)\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{t} = \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{t}},$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\mathbf{u} + \hat{u}_{n}u_{n} + \nu(\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla)\hat{u}_{n} + T\hat{T} + \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{n}} = \hat{\rho}$$
$$u_{n}\hat{T} + \alpha\frac{\partial\hat{T}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial T}$$



Objective function

- We see that the BCs depends on the cost function.
- In our case, we focus on maximizing

Heat conduction on the wall

$$\textit{I} = \int_{\textit{wall}} \frac{\partial \textit{T}}{\partial \textbf{n}} \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$

May be problematic:

$$\frac{\partial I_{\Gamma}}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \right) = \mathbf{0}$$

- Influence of the cost function on to the BCs?
- Alternative formulation (in terms of enthalpy)?



Technische Universität Braunschweig

Test case



- Rectangular domain with
 - length x = 0.0, 0.276 m
 - width y = 0.08 m
 - height z = 0.03 m.
- Dimple: diameter d = 0.048 m. and height h = 0.012 m.



Test case | Shape update







Test case | Velocity and temperature (primal)





Test case | Adjoint fields



Outlook

So far

Proof of concept.

Next steps

- Validation
- Consolidation

Further plans

- Improved mesh deformation
 - RB functions
 - Free Form Deformation (FFD) techniques
- Combination with other cost functions
 - pressure loss
 - uniformity
- Alternative cost function formulation?

