Reliable aeroacoustic simulation on unstructured grids with OpenFOAM



M. Fuchs¹, <u>C. Mockett¹</u>, F. Kramer¹, T. Knacke¹, D. Fischer² & F. Thiele¹ <u>charles.mockett@cfd-berlin.com</u>

2)







Berlin, Germany

Enhanced aeroacoustics features in OpenFOAM

- Improved aeroacoustics solver for low Mach number applications
- Efficient input / output library for FW-H analysis
- Improved hybrid RANS-LES turbulence modelling

Validation for Rudimentary Landing Gear

- Test case setup
- Solver comparison
- Mesh comparison

Conclusions and next steps



Enhanced aeroacoustics features in OpenFOAM

- Improved aeroacoustics solver for low Mach number applications
- Efficient input / output library for FW-H analysis
- Improved hybrid RANS-LES turbulence modelling

Validation for Rudimentary Landing Gear

- Test case setup
- Solver comparison
- Mesh comparison

Conclusions and next steps



- Goal: Reliable, source-resolving, direct aeroacoustic prediction for industrial geometries at low Mach numbers
- Requirements on simulation process chain:
 - Compressible solver with low spurious numerical noise
 - High-fidelity scale-resolving turbulence model
 - Non-reflecting boundary conditions
 - Sponge layer for small domains
 - Method for far-field wave propagation (e.g. Ffowcs Williams & Hawkings)
 - Efficient output of unsteady data
 - Unstructured grids for complex geometries
- All items have been implemented and validated, but so far only on structured meshes
- First results for the Rudimentary Landing Gear (RLG) test case on an unstructured grid will be presented

Blind partner results for Rudimentary Landing Gear (RLG) at BANC-II workshop Spalart & Wetzel, 2015





Enhanced aeroacoustics features in OpenFOAM

- Improved aeroacoustics solver for low Mach number applications
- Efficient input / output library for FW-H analysis
- Improved hybrid RANS-LES turbulence modelling

Validation for Rudimentary Landing Gear

- Test case setup
- Solver comparison
- Mesh comparison

Conclusions and next steps



Improved aeroacoustics solver for low Mach number applications

- For low Mach number flows, pressure-based solvers are often an efficient choice (relative to e.g. density-based solvers)
- Unfortunately, numerical implementation details can have a large influence on the prediction of aeroacoustics especially at low Mach numbers:
 - Physical noise sources are very quiet for low Mach number applications, thus greater sensitivity to additional spurious noise from numerics
- We worked extensively on the Rhie & Chow interpolation implementation in OpenFOAM, which is the core mechanism to couple velocity and pressure fields for pressure-based solvers:





- Our aim:
 - Development of efficient, solver-independent process chain for farfield noise prediction
- Our process chain consists of two separate tools, which use a common file format
- *effIO*: efficient library for input / output:
 - External solver-independent dynamic library which is linked to OpenFOAM solver at runtime
 - Reads surface description from STL / ASCII input
 - Writes unsteady FW-H data from surfaces in predefined format (HDF5)
- In-house FW-H tool for farfield integration:
 - Uses *effIO* library to read unsteady FW-H data
 - Performs sound integration to farfield

defined permeable FW-H surfaces in STL format



effIO = efficient library for input/output

- Key features of *effIO* library:
 - Solver-independent library for consistent post-processing between different CFD solvers
 - Simple integration with minimal impact on solver
 - Output specification outside of solver in a common configuration file (cross-solver)
 - Parallel IO support
 - Writes one single file for each FW-H surface containing all variables
 - Temporal buffering for unsteady data: multiple time steps in one file → reduces IO overhead and number of output files
 - On-the-fly data manipulation:
 - Computation of temporal mean /max, temporal exponential smoothing, etc.
 - Allows data reduction
 - A clear on-disk data layout



Enhanced hybrid RANS-LES modelling

- Delayed DES (DDES) with accelerated "RANS-to-LES transition"
- Details of formulation published:

C. Mockett, M. Fuchs, A. Garbaruk, M. Shur, P. Spalart, M. Strelets, F. Thiele, A. Travin: Two nonzonal approaches to accelerate RANS to LES transition of free shear layers in DES. In: *Progress in Hybrid RANS-LES Modelling*, NNFM Vol 130, Springer (2015)

- Two key ingredients:
 - An adaptive definition of the grid filter, denoted $ilde{\Delta}_\omega$
 - Alternative form of SGS model in LES mode region, i.e. the σ -model of Nicoud et al.





Enhanced hybrid RANS-LES modelling

- Delayed DES (DDES) with accelerated "RANS-to-LES transition"
- Details of formulation published:

C. Mockett, M. Fuchs, A. Garbaruk, M. Shur, P. Spalart, M. Strelets, F. Thiele, A. Travin: Two nonzonal approaches to accelerate RANS to LES transition of free shear layers in DES. In: *Progress in Hybrid RANS-LES Modelling*, NNFM Vol 130, Springer (2015)

- Two key ingredients:
 - An adaptive definition of the grid filter, denoted $ilde{\Delta}_\omega$
 - Alternative form of SGS model in LES mode region, i.e. the σ -model of Nicoud et al.



Enhanced aeroacoustics features in OpenFOAM

- Improved aeroacoustics solver for low Mach number applications
- Efficient input / output library for FW-H analysis
- Improved hybrid RANS-LES turbulence modelling

Validation for Rudimentary Landing Gear

- Test case setup
- Solver comparison
- Mesh comparison

Conclusions and next steps



Rudimentary landing gear (RLG)

- Test case was part of 2012 AIAA BANC-II aeroacoustics benchmarking workshop
- Aerodynamic and acoustic measurement data available
- Test case properties:
 - Generic 4-wheel landing gear configuration mounted on ceiling
 - $Re_D = 1.0 \times 10^6$, M = 0.12
- Existing results for in-house solver on structured grid from Wang et al. "Detached-Eddy Simulation of Landing-Gear Noise", 19th AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustics Conference, (AIAA 2013-2069)



Aerodynamic measurements at National Aerospace Laboratories, Bangalore / India



Aeroacoustics measurements at University of Florida / USA

Test case setup

- Flow solver (OF-v3.0+):
 - Fully compressible pressure-based branch with multiple sub-iterations: standard OF solver is rhoPimpleFoam
- Turbulence model:
 - Grey-area enhanced SA- σ -DDES + $\widetilde{\Delta}_{\omega}$
- Discretisation schemes & time step size:
 - Hybrid convection scheme of Travin et al. For advection term: blending between 2nd order CDS / upwind-biased scheme
 - 2nd order implicit Euler scheme for time
 - $\Delta t = 0.005 \times D/U_{\infty}$
- Convergence criteria:
 - 1 order of magnitude reduction for p
 - 3 orders of magnitude reduction for U, h, v_t
- 32.5-70 CTU computed for acoustic statistics



Structured grid



Unstructured grid

- Courtesy of L. Wang
- 37M cells
- Designed to support wave resolution up to $St \approx 10$ for 2nd order accurate solver
- Polyhedral mesh generated with ANSA
- 18.4M cells
- 32 prism layers, $y_{max}^+ = 1.45$
- Refinement tailored to flow features



Structured grid





- Courtesy of L. Wang
- 37M cells
- Designed to support wave resolution up to $St \approx 10$ for 2nd order accurate solver
- Polyhedral mesh generated with ANSA
- 18.4M cells
- 32 prism layers, $y_{max}^+ = 1.45$
- Refinement tailored to flow features



Structured grid





- Courtesy of L. Wang
- 37M cells
- Designed to support wave resolution up to $St \approx 10$ for 2nd order accurate solver
- Polyhedral mesh generated with ANSA
- 18.4M cells
- 32 prism layers, $y_{max}^+ = 1.45$
- Refinement tailored to flow features



Structured grid







- Courtesy of L. Wang
- 37M cells
- Designed to support wave resolution up to $St \approx 10$ for 2nd order accurate solver
- Polyhedral mesh generated with ANSA
- 18.4M cells
- 32 prism layers, $y_{max}^+ = 1.45$
- Refinement tailored to flow features



• Two different strategies for surface data collection are analysed:





• Two different strategies for surface data collection are analysed:



- Curle: only contributions of solid surfaces are considered
 - Quadrupole terms neglected
 - Including the ceiling in the analysis is indispensable to capture wave reflections



• Two different strategies for surface data collection are analysed:



- FW-H: permeable surface around acoustic source region
 - Includes all acoustic source terms
 - Treatment of closing surface downstream can have a significant influence on lowfrequency noise contribution



• Two different strategies for surface data collection are analysed:



• For low Mach number flows (M = 0.12 in this case), both **Curle** and **FW-H** approaches are expected to deliver a comparable prediction for the far-field sound, as the role of quadrupoles should be minor





-6

-8

-5

0

Standard solver

Improved solver

CFD Software E+F GmbH

German OpenFOAM User Meeting (GOFUN) 2017

5

x/D

10

-6.00E-03 -8.00E-03

-1.00E-02



German OpenFOAM User Meeting (GOFUN) 2017







German OpenFOAM User Meeting (GOFUN) 2017



German OpenFOAM User Meeting (GOFUN) 2017

Snapshots of flow and acoustic fields

Unstructured grid



Structured grid

Snapshots of flow and acoustic fields

Unstructured grid

Structured grid



Finer turbulence resolution on unstructured grid ...but also some spurious structures?



Snapshots of flow and acoustic fields

Unstructured grid

Structured grid



Finer turbulence resolution on unstructured grid ...but also some spurious structures?



Snapshots of flow and acoustic fields

Unstructured grid

Structured grid



Finer turbulence resolution on unstructured grid ...but also some spurious structures?



Snapshots of flow and acoustic fields

Unstructured grid

Structured grid



Finer turbulence resolution on unstructured grid ...but also some spurious structures?

CFD Software E+F GmbH

Far-field sound spectra

Structured (green) and unstructured (blue) meshes All with improved solver

Comparison of permeable and solid integration surfaces



Permeable (FW-H)





German OpenFOAM User Meeting (GOFUN) 2017

Far-field sound spectra

Structured (green) and unstructured (blue) meshes All with improved solver

Comparison of permeable and solid integration surfaces



Permeable (FW-H)





German OpenFOAM User Meeting (GOFUN) 2017

Far-field sound spectra

Structured (green) and unstructured (blue) meshes All with improved solver

Comparison of permeable and solid integration surfaces



Permeable (FW-H)





German OpenFOAM User Meeting (GOFUN) 2017

Comparison with BANC-II results



- Very competitive level of agreement
- No spurious noise despite unstructured grid
- Slightly less high-frequency resolution than previous results with in-house code (green data on right)

Blind partner results from BANC-II workshop













German OpenFOAM User Meeting (GOFUN) 2017

Enhanced aeroacoustics features in OpenFOAM

- Improved aeroacoustics solver for low Mach number applications
- Efficient input / output library for FW-H analysis
- Improved hybrid RANS-LES turbulence modelling

Validation for Rudimentary Landing Gear

- Test case setup
- Solver comparison
- Mesh comparison

Conclusions and next steps



Conclusions

- Complete process chain for aeroacoustic simulation validated with satisfactory results for a very challenging test case
- Standard OpenFOAM solver returns unreliable aeroacoustic prediction:
 - Physically inconsistent behaviour seen between Curle and FW-H post-processing
- Improved pressure-based acoustics solver shows a very consistent performance for the RLG:
 - Very competitive performance relative to other CFD solvers
 - Faster convergence and therefore 33% lower CPU time relative to standard solver
- First validation also on unstructured meshes:
 - Unstructured mesh resolves finer structures than structured mesh
 - Far-field spectra for unstructured mesh agree slightly better with measurements
 - No spurious acoustic content detected on unstructured mesh
- OpenFOAM results resolve spectra up to $St \approx 6$ whereas in-house code resolves up to $St \approx 10$ (same grid and time step)
 - "Cancellation of errors" in previous results?
 - Finer mesh and/or time step?



Thank you for your attention

